

STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 6333

Petition of EHV-Weidmann Industries, Inc. for a)
declaratory ruling for confirmation that a)
relocation of its metering point into the service)
territory of the Village of Lyndonville Electric)
Department will entitle it to receive electric)
service from that utility)

Order entered: 2/2/2000

PREHEARING CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM
AND SCHEDULING ORDER

On January 25, 2000, a prehearing conference was held in this docket. Presiding was David Coen, Hearing Officer. Parties appearing at the hearing were EHV-Weidmann Industries, Inc. ("EHV"), represented by David John Mullett, Esq., Central Vermont Public Service Corporation ("CVPS"), represented by Morris Silver, Esq., and the Vermont Department of Public Service ("Department"), represented by James Volz, Esq., and Geoffrey Commons, Esq. Also present at the hearing was Trevor Lewis, Esq., on behalf of The Village of Lyndonville Electric Department ("Lyndonville"). At the prehearing conference, Mr. Lewis represented that Lyndonville would be seeking party status in this docket. However, on February 1, 2000, Mr. Lewis filed a formal notice of appearance for Lyndonville, and clarified that Lyndonville only seeks interested person status in this docket.

The parties discussed the content of the petition in this docket, and they agreed that a stipulation of facts should be filed. In addition, there was discussion concerning the relationship between this docket and the issue of retail choice. Mr. Silver stated that CVPS' opposition to the petition in this docket should not be construed as opposition to retail choice

for energy providers. And, I reminded the parties that in Docket No. 5854, the Board spoke to the matter of retail choice for generation services, as distinguished from transmission and distribution services. Finally, the parties were requested to provide in their future filings a definition of the term "metering point."

With the above in mind, the following filing schedule was established:

February 8, 2000	CVPS reply to Petition; Parties to file stipulation of facts
February 22, 2000	EHV response to CVPS' reply
March 7, 2000	Replies by CVPS and the Department to EHV response

After I have had an opportunity to review all of the above filings, a determination will be made concerning the appropriateness of further proceedings. If this docket is not resolved on the basis of the above filings, another prehearing conference will be held to establish a schedule for further proceedings.

SO ORDERED.

DATED at Montpelier, Vermont, this 2nd day of February, 2000.

s/David C. Coen
David Coen
Hearing Officer

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Filed: February 2, 2000

Attest: s/Susan M. Hudson
Clerk of the Board

NOTICE TO READERS: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Board of any technical errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made.