

STATE OF VERMONT  
PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 6945

Petition of XO Communications, Inc. for            )  
Approval of an Indirect Transfer of Control        )

Order entered: 5/21/2004

---

**I. INTRODUCTION**

On April 1, 2004, XO Communications, Inc. ("XO" or the "Petitioner"), the parent corporation of XO Long Distance Services, Inc. ("XO LD"), filed a Telecommunications Merger and or Acquisition Request for Approval Form ("Petition") requesting authority from the Vermont Public Service Board ("Board"), pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 107, for approval of an indirect transfer of control of XO's Vermont operating subsidiaries: XO LD.

On April 19, 2004, the Vermont Department of Public Service ("Department") filed a letter with the Board recommending the Board approve the transfer of control because the proposed transaction would not detrimentally impact Vermont consumers or cause them inconvenience or confusion. The Department further recommended the Board approve the Petition without further investigation or hearing.

The Board has reviewed the Petition and the accompanying documents and agrees that approval should be granted without hearing.

**II. FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based upon the Petition and accompanying documents, we hereby make the following findings of fact.

1. XO LD is a wholly-owned subsidiary of XO, a Delaware corporation. XO LD was issued an Amended Certificate of Public Good (CPG No. 484) to provide intrastate telecommunications services, on January 4, 2002.<sup>1</sup> Petition at 1 and Exh. A.

2. Pursuant to a proposed reorganization arising out of a bankruptcy proceeding, and a subsequent Rights Offering, Amalgamated Gadget, L.P., has acquired approximately 15.2% of

---

1. The original CPG was issued to Nextlink Long Distance Services, Inc., on February 16, 2000; the Company subsequently changed its name to XO LD.

the stock of XO, the parent corporation of XO LD. Petition at Exh. A.

3. XO LD will continue to operate under its current name and tariff. The only change is in the ownership structure of the parent corporation of XO LD. Accordingly, the transfer will not cause any inconvenience for Vermont consumers. Petition at 2 and Exh. A.

4. The proposed transaction will promote the public interest in that it will allow XO and its operating subsidiaries increased flexibility in ownership, thereby enabling its operating subsidiaries to continue providing telecommunications service in Vermont. Petition at Exh. A.

### **III. DISCUSSION**

The proposed transaction requires approval by the Board under 30 V.S.A § 107. This statute conditions approval of a proposed transfer of control upon findings that the transfer of control will promote the public good (30 V.S.A § 107). This standard is met in this case.

Under 30 V.S.A. § 107(a), "[n]o company shall directly or indirectly acquire a controlling interest in any company subject to the jurisdiction of the [Board] . . . without the approval of the [Board]." "Controlling interest" is defined as "ten percent or more of the outstanding voting securities of a company" or such other interest as the Board determines "to constitute the means to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of a company." 30 V.S.A. § 107(c)(1).<sup>2</sup> In order to approve the acquisition of such a controlling interest, the Board must first find that it will "promote the public good." 30 V.S.A. § 107(b).

After reviewing the Petition, we conclude that 30 V.S.A. § 107 applies because the sale of approximately 15.2% of the stock of XO, the parent corporation of XO LD, to an outside investor will result in the transfer of controlling interest of XO and, thus, the indirect transfer of control of XO LD. We further conclude that the transfer of control will not affect the services that XO LD currently provides to customers in Vermont. XO LD will continue to operate under its current name and tariff. The proposed transaction will also allow XO and its parent corporation increased ownership flexibility. The resulting transfer of control, therefore, will promote the public good. For all of these reasons, we conclude that the proposed transaction meets the standards set forth in 30 V.S.A. § 107 and should be approved.

---

2. The statute also provides that "[t]he presumption that ten percent or more of the outstanding voting securities of a company constitutes a controlling interest may be rebutted by a company under procedures established by the board by rule." 30 V.S.A. § 107(c)(1).

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

The indirect transfer of control of XO LD should be approved because the transaction will promote the public good of the State of Vermont and will not result in obstructing or preventing competition. 30 V.S.A. § 107(b).

**V. ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Public Service Board of the State of Vermont that:

1. The indirect transfer of control of XO Long Distance Services, Inc., will promote the public good and, therefore, is approved.
2. Petitioners shall file a letter notifying the Board of the completion of the transaction within one week of such completion.

DATED at Montpelier, Vermont, this 21<sup>st</sup> day of May, 2004.

	)	
	)	PUBLIC SERVICE
	)	
s/David C. Coen	)	BOARD
	)	
	)	OF VERMONT
s/John D. Burke	)	

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Filed: May 21, 2004

Attest: s/Susan M. Hudson

Clerk of the Board

*NOTICE TO READERS: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Board (by e-mail, telephone, or in writing) of any apparent errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made. (E-mail address: Clerk@psb.state.vt.us).*

*Appeal of this decision to the Supreme Court of Vermont must be filed with the Clerk of the Board within thirty days. Appeal will not stay the effect of this Order, absent further Order by this Board or appropriate action by the Supreme Court of Vermont. Motions for reconsideration or stay, if any, must be filed with the Clerk of the Board within ten days of the date of this decision and order.*